Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/825,896	SEKIYA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ryan Lepisto	2883
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Ryan Lepisto.	(3)	
(2) Richard Goldman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 April 2005	Time: <u>3:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 102 rejection of claim 19.		
Claims discussed: 19		
Prior art documents discussed: Ohtaka et al (US 4,992,819), Koyama et al (US 4,878,078) and examiner eluded to Clarke et al (US 5,956,163).		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner was given permission to cancel claim 19 with an examiners amendment since the claim would have been rejected in a subsequent action.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	Supervisory P	G. Font atent Examiner Center 2800
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examinerions Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – ir appropriatė)